





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N

• APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,797	01/23/2002	Michael Luders	TRW(REPA)6027	9277	
26294 _ 7	7590 12/30/2003		EXAMINER		
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111			SPISICH, GEORGE D		
	D, OH 44114		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3616		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(11)
Advisory Action	10/054,797	LUDERS ET AL.	210
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	George D. Spisich	3616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	eply to a ication in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropria e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; o	See MPEP te extension fee ktension fee under r (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected cla	ims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	·		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	· · · ——		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	ed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which w	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3 and 5</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 4.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10.□ Other: Palal L			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
Advisory Action

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

George Spisich
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3616

Coninuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/054,797



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of the structure "coupled to the side wall" in new claims 6 and 7 would require further consideration and/or search. The addition of claims 6 and 7 without the cancellation of a corresponding number of rejected claims is not allowed.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to Applicant's argument that the unfolding of the sidewall of Hill ('696) does not sever the connection of the front wall and back wall, Examiner disagrees with this argument. Examiners maintains the rejection made in the Final Rejection with respect to claims 1 and 4 since the unfolding of the side walls of Hill is essential to and initiates the severing of the connection. There is no structure claimed in claims 1 and 4 not present in Hill and therefore Hill meets all the structural limitaions of claims 1 and 4.